

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/823,130	04/13/2004	Anand G. Dabak	TI-28984A	3498	
23494 TEXAS INSTR	7590 10/12/200° RUMENTS INCORPOR		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			PHAN, MAN U		
DALLAS, TX	/5265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2619		
			<u></u>		
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/12/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

		•	QΥ
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,130	DABAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Man Phan	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 April 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•	·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-38</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-38</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•		i).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	·		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		at received	
		viocovou.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/31/05</u> .	6) Other: _	• •	

Application/Control Number: 10/823,130 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The application of Dabak et al. for a "Space time transmit diversity for TDD/WCDMA systems" filed 04/13/2004 has been examined. This application is a continuation of 09/514,452 filed 02/25/2000 is now U.S. Patent #6,775,260 which claims benefit of 60/121,541 filed 02/25/1999, and claims benefit of 60/121,657 filed 02/25/1999, and claims benefit of 60/135,263 filed 05/21/1999. The preliminary amendment filed 04/13/2004 has been entered and made of record. Claims 1-35, 39 have been canceled. Claims 36-38 are pending in the application.
- 2. The applicant should use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols @, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., provide proper antecedent basis for "the" and "said" within each claim). Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2616

in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whinett et al. (US#6,317,411) in view of Secord et al. (US#6,373,831).

With respect to claims 36, 38, the references disclose a controlling method and system for transmitter diversity technique in wireless communications, according to the essential features of the claims. Whinnett et al. (US#6,317,411) teaches a circuit, comprising an encoder circuit coupled to receive a plurality of symbols [Fig. 5, 20], the encoder circuit producing the plurality of symbols at a first output terminal [Fig. 5, top line output of 88] and a transform of the plurality of symbols at a second output terminal within a time slot [Fig. 5, bottom line output of 88 which is a transform of original data], the encoder circuit producing a sequence of predetermined signals interposed with the plurality of symbols [Fig. 5, 92 adds predetermined signals]. Whinnett et al. (US#6,317,411) further teaches a circuit wherein the first coded signal is applied to a first antenna [Fig. 5, 100]; and a second multiplier circuit coupled to receive the transform of the plurality of first symbols and arranged to multiply the transform of the plurality

of first symbols by the code corresponding to the first user to produce a second coded signal [Fig. 5, 92], wherein the second coded signal is applied to a second antenna [Fig. 5, 102].

In the same field of endeavor, Secord et al. (US#6,373,831) teaches circuit is coupled to receive a control signal, the encoder circuit producing the plurality of symbols at the first output terminal and the transform of the plurality of symbols at the second output terminal in response to a first value of the control signal, the encoder circuit producing the plurality of symbols at the first output terminal and not producing the transform of the plurality of symbols at the second output terminal in response to a second value of the control signal [Col. 5, lines 43-49, power control bits which transforms the signal are only inserted depending on output signal of MUX 40 in Fig. 5]. Secord et al. (US#6,373,831) further teaches a diversity control circuit coupled to receive a first input signal, the diversity control circuit producing the control signal corresponding to the first input signal [Col. 5, lines 39-43, MUX 40 in Fig. 5 produces the control signal corresponding to the first input signal from 20]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to decide whether to transform or not plurality of symbols at second output terminal to provide additional time diversity [Col. 5, lines 37-39].

Regarding claim 37, It's noted that, the midamble is a designated portion of a time division channel timeslot containing a known code sequence that is used at the receiver during channel estimation. Depending on the communication system, the code sequence can be used in various forms. For example, the code sequence in an IEEE 802.16 wideband wireless access system can be used in a preamble or a pilot signal format, and in a multi-input, multi-output

(MIMO) system, the code sequence can be used as a midamble format (located between two data areas).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Dabak et al. (US#6,449,314) is cited to show the space time block coded transmit antenna diversity for WCDMA.

The Dabak et al. (US#2004/0101032) is cited to show the space time transmit diversity for TDD/ WCDMA systems.

The Alamouti et al. (US#6,185,258) is cited to show the transmitter diversity technique for wireless communications.

The Cox et al. (US#2003/0058929) is cited to show the adaptive wireless communication receiver.

The Schilling (US#2002/0027948) is cited to show the spread-spectrum high data rate system and method.

The takahashi (US#6,396,821) is cited to show the radio communication apparatus of diversity transmission system.

The Naguib et al. (US#6,178,196) is cited to show the combined interference cancellation and maximum likelihood decoding of space time block codes.

The Zeira et al. (US#2001/0024426) is cited to show the support of multiuser detection in the downlink.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,130

Art Unit: 2616

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to M. Phan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3149. The

Page 6

examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 6:00 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at toll free 1-866-217-

9197.

Mphan

09/13/2007

MAN U. PHAN
PRIMARY FXAMINER